

©
Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2013



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073
dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. II വാല്യം 2	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	28th May 2013 2013 മേയ് 28 7th Jyaishta 1935 1935 ജ്യേഷ്ഠം 7	No. നമ്പർ	22
---------------------	---	---	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 770/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th April 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Glenmary Estate, Peermade and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Arpputham, C. R. No. 2154, Glenmary Estate, Peermade represented by the General Secretary, Kerala State Estate Workers Union (INTUC), Peermade in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the action of the management in superannuating Sri Arpputham, C. R. No. 2154 of Glenmary Estate, Peermade with effect from 21-9-2011 instead of 22-3-2013 (date of birth as per school records) is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 771/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th April 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director,

Rehabilitation Plantations Limited, Tholikkodu P. O., Punalur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kollam District, Plantation Workers Union, C.I.T.U., Punalur, in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Damodaran, Reg. No. 1477, Tapping Worker of Ayiranalloor Estate Rehabilitation Plantations Limited, Punalur is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 772/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th April 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Private Eye Security Services, 80 Feet Road, Indira Nagar, Bangalore and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) Kerala State Security Staff Association (CITU), CITU District Committee Office, Chirakkulam, G.. P. O., (2) All Kerala Security Service Employees Association (INTUC), Govt. Quarters No. B6, Nethaji Nagar, Vikas Bhavan P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the demand for 20% Bonus to the Security employees of Private Eye Security Services, Indira Nagar, Bangalore is Justifiable? If yes, what relief they are entitled to?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 788/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th April 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Roy, Veliyath House, Veliyath Plantation, Pynkulam P. O., Cheruthuruthy, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri T. K. Sasi s/o Kuttappan, Thattamparambil House, Purappuzha P. O., Idukki in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of the employment to Sri Sasi s/o Kuttappan, Thattamparambil House, Purappuzha P. O., Idukki by employer Sri Roy, Veliyath House, Veliyath Plantation P. O., Pynkulam, Cheruthuruthy is justifiable ? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to get ?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 789/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th April 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Roy, Veliyath House, Veliyath Plantation, Pynkulam P. O., Cheruthuruthy, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Jomon, K. P. s/o K. J. Philip, Kanjiramalayil House, Puthuveli P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. P. Jomon s/o K. J. Philip, Kanjiramalayil House, P. O. Puthuveli, Kottayam by employer Sri Roy, Veliyath House, Veliyath Plantation, Pynkulam P. O., Cheruthuruthy is justifiable ? If not, what other relief he is entitled to get ?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 790/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th April 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Roy, Veliyath House, Veliyath Plantation, Pynkulam P. O., Cheruthuruthy, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Madhavan, K. S. s/o Sankunni, Kattil House, Killimangalam P. O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of the employment to Sri Madhavan s/o Sankunni, Kattil House, Veliyath Plantation P. O., Pynkulam, Cheruthuruthy is justifiable ? If not, what are the relief he is entitled to get ?

By order of the Governor ,

RAMANKUTTY, C.,
Under Secretary to Government.